Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/560,383	ORCHARD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHN MABRY	1625
Il Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>JOHN MABRY</u> .	(3)	
(2) Brittany La.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 May 2011	Time: <u>12:12 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: all pending claims - claims 1, 3, 4 and 20-35		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)
· ·	•	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to expedite the prosecution of this case, Examiner contacted Attny La and discussed the following: 1) since the compounds and pharmaceutical composition claims were allowable, Examiner inquired if Applicant wanted rejoinder of process of preparation and method claims which corresponds to Group II and Group III of Restriction Requirement dated 12/30/09, respectively; 2) Examiner warned Attny La of enablement issues regarding the method claims; and 3) Attny La consulted with Applicant and communicated to Examiner to rejoin the process of preparatio claim 4 and to cancel method claims 21-35.